BEFORE THE **BOARD OF PHARMACY** DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA

In the Matter of the Accusation and Petition to Revoke Probation Against:

Case No. 4141

OAH No. 2011100183

GARY VICTOR MANTESE 3895 Holly Hills

St. Louis, MO 63116

Pharmacist License No. RPH 47841

Respondent.

DECISION AND ORDER

The attached Default Decision and Order is hereby adopted by the Board of Pharmacy, Department of Consumer Affairs, as its Decision in this matter.

This decision shall become effective on May 18, 2012.

It is so ORDERED on April 18, 2012.

BOARD OF PHARMACY DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA

& (. Wusi

By

STANLEY C. WEISSER **Board President**

- 4. Service of the Accusation was effective as a matter of law under the provisions of Government Code section 11505, subdivision (c), and Business & Professions Code section 124.
- 5. On or about September 20, 2011, Respondent completed, signed and returned a Notice of Defense, requesting a hearing in this matter. A hearing date was set for February 13, 2012. A Notice of Hearing was served by Certified and First Class Mail at Respondent's address listed above informing him of this date. Prior to that hearing date, Respondent requested a brief continuance of the matter. A new hearing date was set for March 8, 2012. Again, a Notice of Continued Hearing was served to Respondent by Certified and First Class Mail.
- 6. On or about March 7, 2012, an attorney representing Respondent (Richard Sommer) communicated by letter that neither he nor Respondent would attend the March 8, 2012. In this letter, Respondent's attorney acknowledged appropriate notice of the hearing, and requested that the matter not be further delayed. Along with the letter, Respondent returned an Answer to the First Amended Accusation and Petition to Revoke that admitted many of its allegations.
- 7. Neither Respondent nor his attorney attended the March 8, 2012 hearing. The record was opened to mark and receive into evidence the jurisdictional documents (State's Exhibit 1), and the letter and attached pleadings filed by Respondent (State's Exhibit 2). Exhibit 1 consists of First Amended Accusation and Petition to Revoke Probation No. 4141; the service documents showing its service on January 18, 2012; the original Accusation No. 4141; the Notice of Defense returned by Respondent in response to the original Accusation; and the Notice of Continued Hearing served on Respondent and his attorney on February 13, 2012. State's Exhibit 2 consists of a letter by Richard Sommer dated March 7, 2012; the Answer; Certificates of Service; a Notice of Appearance as Defense Counsel and Application for Leave to Appear *Pro Hac Vice*; and the authorization by Respondent for Mr. Sommer to act as his attorney in this matter. The record was then closed and the case returned to the Attorney General's Office to prepare an in-office default.
 - 8. California Government Code section 11520 states, in pertinent part:
 - (a) If the respondent either fails to file a notice of defense or to appear at the hearing, the agency may take action based upon the respondent's express admissions or upon other evidence and affidavits may be used as evidence without any notice to respondent.

- 9. Pursuant to its authority under Government Code section 11520, the Board finds Respondent is in default. The Board will take action without further hearing and, based on the relevant evidence contained in the Default Decision Evidence Packet in this matter, as well as taking official notice of all the investigatory reports, exhibits and statements contained therein on file at the Board's offices regarding the allegations contained in First Amended Accusation and Petition to Revoke Probation No. 4141, finds that the charges and allegations in First Amended Accusation and Petition to Revoke Probation No. 4141 are, separately and severally, found to be true and correct by clear and convincing evidence.
- 10. Taking official notice of its internal records, pursuant to Business and Professions Code section 125.3, it is hereby determined that the reasonable costs for Investigation and Enforcement of the Accusation matter are \$2,037.50 as of March 6, 2012.

DETERMINATION OF ISSUES

- 1. Based on the foregoing findings of fact, Respondent Gary Victor Mantese has subjected his Pharmacist License No. RPH 47841 to discipline.
 - 2. The agency has jurisdiction to adjudicate this case by default.
- 3. The Board of Pharmacy is authorized to revoke Respondent's Pharmacist License based upon the following violations alleged in the First Amended Accusation and Petition to Revoke Probation which are supported by the evidence contained in the Default Decision Evidence Packet in this case:
- a. In violation of Business and Professions Code section 4301(f), Respondent did acts involving moral turpitude, dishonesty, fraud, deceit, or corruption, including the following. In or about June 2011, Respondent, already facing a stipulated disciplinary order of license revocation, stayed, three (3) years probation with specified terms and conditions, based on an Accusation served in or about April 2011 that arose from Respondent's history of license discipline in other states due to incidents involving, *inter alia*, his use and abuse of **crack cocaine**, submitted a hair sample for drug testing, which resulted in a confirmed positive for **cocaine** metabolites. At first, Respondent adamantly denied any drug use, only to subsequently admit that he had relapsed on **cocaine**. Respondent departed California to take up residence in the State of Missouri.

- b. In violation of Business and Professions Code section(s) 4301(h), (j), and/or (o), and/or Health and Safety Code section 11170, Respondent, as described above, administered a controlled substance to himself.
- c. In violation of Business and Professions Code section(s) 4301(j), (o), and/or 4059, and/or Health and Safety Code section 11170, Respondent, as described above, furnished to himself or another without a valid prescription, and/or conspired to furnish, or assisted or abetted furnishing of, a controlled substance, without a valid prescription.
- d. In violation of Business and Professions Code section(s) 4301(j), (o), and/or 4060, and/or Health and Safety Code section 11350, Respondent, as described above, possessed, conspired to possess, and/or assisted or abetted possession of, a controlled substance, without a valid prescription.
- e. In violation of Business and Professions Code section(s) 4301(j) and/or (o), and/or Health and Safety Code section 11173(a), Respondent, as described above, obtained, conspired to obtain and/or assisted or abetted obtaining of, a controlled substance by fraud, deceit, subterfuge, or concealment of a material fact.
- f. In violation of Business and Professions Code section(s) 4301(j) and/or (o), and/or Health and Safety Code section 11550, Respondent, as described above, used or was under the influence of, conspired to use/be under the influence of, and/or assisted or abetted use/being under the influence of, certain identified controlled substances, not administered by or under the direction of an authorized licensee.
- g. In violation of Business and Professions Code section 4301, Respondent, as described above, engaged in unprofessional conduct
- h. In violation of Term and Condition 2 of the Decision and Order imposing probation on Respondent's License pursuant to Accusation No. 3890, which requires that Respondent submit quarterly reports on a schedule as directed by the Board or its designee, Respondent failed to submit quarterly reports as directed, including on or prior to January 10, 2012.
- i. In violation of Term and Condition 3 of that Decision and Order, Respondent failed to appear in person for interviews with the Board or its designee, as directed.

Exhibit A

First Amended Accusation and Petition to Revoke Probation No. 4141

	1		
1			
2	Attorney General of California FRANK H. PACOE		
3	Supervising Deputy Attorney General JOSHUA A. ROOM		
4	Deputy Attorney General State Bar No. 214663		
5	455 Golden Gate Avenue, Suite 11000 San Francisco, CA 94102-7004		
6	Telephone: (415) 703-1299 Facsimile: (415) 703-5480		
7	Attorneys for Complainant		
8	BOARD OF	RE THE PHARMACY	
9		CONSUMER AFFAIRS CALIFORNIA	
10	·		
11	In the Matter of the Accusation and Petition to Revoke Probation Against:	Case No. 4141	
12	GARY VICTOR MANTESE		
13	3895 Holly Hills St. Louis, Missouri 63116	FIRST AMENDED ACCUSATION AND PETITION TO REVOKE PROBATION	
14	Pharmacist License No. RPH 47841		
15	Respondent.		
16	Complainant alleges:		
17	PAR	<u>eties</u>	
18	Virginia Herold (Complainant) bring	gs this Accusation and Petition to Revoke	
19	Probation solely in her official capacity as the Ex	xecutive Officer of the Board of Pharmacy,	
20	Department of Consumer Affairs.		
21	2. On or about May 5, 1995, the Board	of Pharmacy issued Pharmacist License Number	
22	RPH 47841 to Gary Victor Mantese (Responden	t). The License was in full force and effect at all	
23	times relevant to the charges brought herein and	will expire on August 31, 2012, unless renewed.	
24	3. In a disciplinary action titled "In the	Matter of the Accusation against Gary Victor	
25	Mantese," Case No. 3890, the Board of Pharmac	ey issued a Decision and Order, effective	
26	September 5, 2011, in which Respondent's Pharmacist License was revoked, with the revocation		
27	stayed and Respondent placed on probation for a period of three (3) years with certain terms and		
28	conditions. A copy of that decision is attached as exhibit A and is incorporated by reference.		

JURISDICTION

- 4. This Accusation and Petition to Revoke Probation is brought before the Board of Pharmacy (Board), Department of Consumer Affairs, under the authority of the following laws. All section references are to the Business and Professions Code (Code), unless indicated.
- 5. Section 4011 of the Code provides that the Board shall administer and enforce both the Pharmacy Law [Bus. & Prof. Code, § 4000 et seq.] and the Uniform Controlled Substances Act [Health & Safety Code, § 11000 et seq.].
- 6. Section 4300(a) of the Code provides that every license issued by the Board may be suspended or revoked.
- 7. Section 118(b) of the Code provides, in pertinent part, that the suspension, expiration, surrender, or cancellation of a license shall not deprive the Board of jurisdiction to proceed with a disciplinary action during the period within which the license may be renewed, restored, reissued or reinstated. Section 4402(a) of the Code provides that any pharmacist license that is not renewed within three years following its expiration may not be renewed, restored, or reinstated and shall be canceled by operation of law at the end of the three-year period. Section 4402(e) of the Code provides that any other license issued by the Board may be canceled by the Board if not renewed within 60 days after its expiration, and will require a new application.

STATUTORY AND REGULATORY PROVISIONS

- 8. Section 4301 of the Code provides, in pertinent part, that the Board shall take action against any holder of a license who is guilty of "unprofessional conduct," defined to include, but not be limited to, any of the following:
- (f) The commission of any act involving moral turpitude, dishonesty, fraud, deceit, or corruption, whether the act is committed in the course of relations as a licensee or otherwise, and whether the act is a felony or misdemeanor or not.
- (h) The administering to oneself, of any controlled substance, or the use of any dangerous drug or of alcoholic beverages to the extent or in a manner as to be dangerous or injurious to oneself, [another licensee, person, or the public], or to the extent that the use impairs the ability of the person to conduct with safety to the public the practice authorized by the license.

- (j) The violation of any of the statutes of this state, of any other state, or of the United States regulating controlled substances and dangerous drugs.
- (o) Violating or attempting to violate, directly or indirectly, or assisting in or abetting the violation of or conspiring to violate any provision or term of this chapter or of the applicable federal and state laws and regulations governing pharmacy, including regulations established by the board or by any other state or federal regulatory agency.
 - 9. California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 1770, states:

"For the purpose of denial, suspension, or revocation of a personal or facility license pursuant to Division 1.5 (commencing with Section 475) of the Business and Professions Code, a crime or act shall be considered substantially related to the qualifications, functions or duties of a licensee or registrant if to a substantial degree it evidences present or potential unfitness of a licensee or registrant to perform the functions authorized by her license or registration in a manner consistent with the public health, safety, or welfare."

- 10. Section 4059 of the Code, in pertinent part, prohibits furnishing of any dangerous drug or dangerous device except upon the prescription of an authorized prescriber.
- 11. Section 4060 of the Code provides, in pertinent part, that no person shall possess any controlled substance, except that furnished upon a valid prescription/drug order.
- 12. Health and Safety Code section 11170 provides that no person shall prescribe, administer, or furnish a controlled substance for himself or herself.
- 13. Health and Safety Code section 11350, in pertinent part, makes it unlawful to possess any controlled substance listed in Schedule II (Health and Safety Code section 11055), subdivision (b) or (c), or any narcotic drug in Schedules III-V, absent a valid prescription.
- 14. Health and Safety Code section 11550, in pertinent part, makes it unlawful for any person to use or be under the influence of any controlled substance in Schedule II (Health and Safety Code section 11055), subdivision (c), or any narcotic drug in Schedules III-V, except when administered by or under the direction of an authorized licensee.

///

///

il .

5

11

12 13

14 15

16 17

18

19

20 21

22 23

24

26

25

27

28

///

COST RECOVERY

Section 125.3 of the Code provides, in pertinent part, that the Board may request the administrative law judge to direct a licentiate found to have committed a violation of the licensing act to pay a sum not to exceed its reasonable costs of investigation and enforcement.

CONTROLLED SUBSTANCES / DANGEROUS DRUGS

Section 4021 of the Code states:

"Controlled substance' means any substance listed in Chapter 2 (commencing with Section 11053) of Division 10 of the Health and Safety Code."

Section 4022 of the Code states, in pertinent part: 17.

"Dangerous drug' or 'dangerous device' means any drug or device unsafe for self use, except veterinary drugs that are labeled as such, and includes the following:

- "(a) Any drug that bears the legend: 'Caution: federal law prohibits dispensing without prescription,' 'Rx only,' or words of similar import. . . .
- "(c) Any other drug or device that by federal or state law can be lawfully dispensed only on prescription or furnished pursuant to Section 4006."
- 18. Cocaine is a Schedule I (in base/rock/crack form) or Schedule II controlled substance as designated by Health and Safety Code sections 11054(f)(1) and 11055(b)(6) and a dangerous drug as designated by Business and Professions Code section 4022. It is a narcotic drug.

FACTUAL BACKGROUND

- Between on or about June 29, 2009 and on or about July 1, 2011, Respondent worked as a contract pharmacist (through Intuitive Health Services in Atascadero, CA) at Coalinga State Hospital (HPE 47182) in Coalinga, CA. During that time, Respondent lived in Coalinga, CA.
- 20. On or about April 11, 2011, Respondent was served with an Accusation in the case titled "In the Matter of the Accusation Against Gary Victor Mantese," Case No. 3890 before the Board of Pharmacy. The Accusation alleged four causes for discipline based on discipline against Respondent's pharmacist licenses held in four (4) other states (Missouri, Louisiana, Texas, and Illinois). The underlying cases were based on, inter alia, Respondent's use of crack cocaine.

21. On or about June 8, 2011, a settlement offer was extended to Respondent regarding Case No. 3890. Respondent signed and returned the Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order on or about June 13, 2011, stipulating to the allegations in the Accusation, and agreeing to terms including required enrollment in and completion of the Pharmacists Recovery Program (PRP).

- 22. In anticipation of adoption by the Board of Pharmacy of the Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order, Respondent contacted the PRP and began enrollment processes. On or about June 29, 2011, Respondent submitted a hair sample for drug testing. That test came back with a confirmed positive for **cocaine** metabolites, showing Respondent's preceding use thereof. On or about July 5, 2011, that positive test result was communicated to Respondent by the PRP.
- 23. On or about July 5, 2011, in communications with PRP representatives, Respondent adamantly denied any use of **cocaine**, and challenged the result(s) of the test. Respondent informed the PRP that he would be leaving the program and the state immediately, as he was moving back to Missouri to live with family. A Texas-based attorney acting on his behalf sent a letter to PRP representatives on or about July 6, 2011 stating that Respondent was en route to Missouri, and also stating that Respondent's "employment contract was recently terminated."
- 24. On or about July 7, 2011, Respondent was terminated from the PRP and classified as a potential public risk. As of on or about that date, his PRP casefile was closed.
- 25. In the meantime, sometime before on or about July 8, 2011, Respondent contacted his supervisor(s) at Coalinga State Hospital and admitted that he had used **cocaine** earlier in the year, and that his use had been detected. He said that he was moving back to Missouri, and would no longer be accepting any shifts for employment at Coalinga State Hospital.
- 26. On or about July 21, 2011, Respondent contacted Board staff, saying that his sudden decision to move back to Missouri was made in a "panic," that he now realized he had made "a terrible mistake" and was "truly sorry," and that he wished to return to California and return to work as a pharmacist at Coalinga State Hospital.
- 27. Respondent ultimately decided to remain resident in Missouri, and has not moved back to California. This has led to non-compliance with terms and conditions of his probation.

SIXTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Unlawful Use of Narcotic Controlled Substances)

33. Respondent is subject to discipline under section 4301(j) and/or (o) of the Code, and/or Health and Safety Code section 11550, in that, as described in paragraphs 19 to 27 above, Respondent used or was under the influence of, conspired to use/be under the influence of, and/or assisted in or abetted use/being under the influence of, certain identified controlled substances, not administered by or under the direction of an authorized licensee.

SEVENTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Unprofessional Conduct)

34. Respondent is subject to discipline under section 4301 of the Code in that Respondent, as described in paragraphs 19 to 33 above, engaged in unprofessional conduct.

FIRST CAUSE TO REVOKE PROBATION

(Failure to Timely Submit Quarterly Report(s))

35. At all times after the effective date (September 5, 2011) of the Decision and Order imposing probation on Respondent's License, Term and Condition 2 of that Order required that Respondent report to the Board quarterly, on a schedule as directed by the Board or its designee, either in person or in writing, as directed. Respondent was directed to report quarterly, in writing, with the first report due on or before January 10, 2012. No quarterly reports have been received from Respondent during probation, including the quarterly report due on January 10, 2012. This failure to timely submit quarterly report(s) subjects Respondent's License to revocation.

SECOND CAUSE TO REVOKE PROBATION

(Failure to Appear In Person for Board Interview(s))

36. At all times after the effective date (September 5, 2011) of the Decision and Order imposing probation on Respondent's License, Term and Condition 3 of that Order required that Respondent appear in person for interviews with the Board or its designee, as directed, and stated that failure to appear for any scheduled interview without prior notice, or failure to appear for two (2) or more scheduled interviews, would be considered a violation of probation.

37. On or about August 12, 2011, Respondent was directed to appear at the offices of the Board on August 25, 2011 for a probation office conference/interview. On or about August 16, 18, and/or 19, 2011, Respondent stated that he was living in Missouri, and would be unable to attend the scheduled conference/interview. On or about September 15, 2011, Respondent was directed to appear at the Board offices on September 29, 2011 for an office conference/interview. On or about September 23 and/or September 26, 2011, Respondent stated that he would not be attending the scheduled conference/interview. On or about September 29, 2011, Respondent was directed to appear at the Board offices on October 26, 2011 for an office conference/interview. A second written notification was sent to Respondent on or about October 14, 2011. Respondent did not attend the August 25, September 29, or October 26, 2011 conference(s)/interview(s). This failure to attend scheduled, noticed interview(s) subjects Respondent's License to revocation.

THIRD CAUSE TO REVOKE PROBATION

(Failure to Timely Enroll in Pharmacists Recovery Program (PRP))

38. At all times after the effective date (September 5, 2011) of the Decision and Order imposing probation on Respondent's License, Term and Condition 14 of that Order required that within thirty (30) days (i.e., by October 5, 2011) Respondent contact the Pharmacists Recovery Program (PRP) for evaluation, and immediately thereafter enroll, participate in, and complete the treatment contract and any subsequent addenda recommended by the PRP. Per paragraphs 22 to 24 above, Respondent failed to complete evaluation, enrollment, and/or participation in the PRP program within thirty days after September 5, 2011, and has never re-entered the PRP since his departure to Missouri. This failure to timely submit to evaluation, to complete enrollment, and/or to participate in the PRP, subjects Respondent's License to revocation.

FOURTH CAUSE TO REVOKE PROBATION

(Failure to Cooperate with Board Staff)

39. At all times after the effective date (September 5, 2011) of the Decision and Order imposing probation on Respondent's License, Term and Condition 4 of that Order required that Respondent cooperate with the Board's inspection program and with the Board's monitoring and investigation of Respondent's compliance with terms and conditions of his probation.

40. Per paragraphs 35-38 above, Respondent failed to timely cooperate on one or more occasions. His failure(s) to cooperate as required subject Respondent's License to revocation.

OTHER MATTERS - EXTENSION OF PROBATION

41. At all times after the effective date (September 5, 2011) of the Decision and Order imposing probation on Respondent's License, Term and Condition 19 of that Order required:

19. Violation of Probation.

If a respondent has not complied with any term or condition of probation, the board shall have continuing jurisdiction over respondent, and probation shall automatically be extended, until all terms and conditions have been satisfied or the board has taken other action as deemed appropriate to treat the failure to comply as a violation of probation, to terminate probation, and to impose the penalty that was stayed.

If respondent violates probation in any respect, the board, after giving respondent notice and an opportunity to be heard, may revoke probation and carry out the disciplinary order that was stayed. Notice and opportunity to be heard are not required for those provisions stating that a violation thereof may lead to automatic termination of the stay and/or revocation of the license. If a petition to revoke probation or an accusation is filed against respondent during probation, the board shall have continuing jurisdiction, and the period of probation shall be automatically extended until the petition to revoke probation or accusation is heard and decided.

42. Pursuant to the operation of Term and Condition 19 of the probation order applicable to Respondent's License, probation is automatically extended by the filing hereof, and/or by Respondent's failure to comply with the terms and conditions of probation, until such time as this Accusation and Petition to Revoke Probation is heard and decided, or until the Board has taken other action as deemed appropriate to treat the failure to comply as a violation of probation.

PRAYER

WHEREFORE, Complainant requests that a hearing be held on the matters herein alleged, and that following the hearing, the Board of Pharmacy issue a decision:

 Revoking or suspending Pharmacist License Number RPH 47841, issued to Gary Victor Mantese (Respondent);

Revoking the probation that was granted by the Board of Pharmacy in Case No. 3890 2. and imposing the disciplinary order that was stayed, thereby revoking Pharmacist License No. RPH 47841, issued to Gary Victor Mantese (Respondent);

Ordering Respondent to pay the Board the reasonable costs of the investigation and 3. enforcement of this case, pursuant to Business and Professions Code section 125.3;

Taking such other and further action as is deemed necessary and proper.

Executive Officer Board of Pharmacy

Department of Consumer Affairs

State of California Complainant

40510728.doc

Exhibit A

Decision and Order
Board of Pharmacy Case No. 3890

BEFORE THE BOARD OF PHARMACY DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA

In the Matter of the Accusation Against:

Case No. 3890

GARY VICTOR MANTESE 300 Juniper Ridge #266 Coalinga, CA 93210

Pharmacist License No. RPH 47841

Respondent.

DECISION AND ORDER

The attached Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order is hereby adopted by the Board of Pharmacy, Department of Consumer Affairs, as its Decision in this matter.

This decision shall become effective on September 5, 2011.

It is so ORDERED on August 5, 2011.

BOARD OF PHARMACY DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA

(. Wusi

Ву

STANLEY C. WEISSER Board President

•			
1	KAMALA D. HARRIS	•	
2	Attorney General of California FRANK H. PACOE		
3	Supervising Deputy Attorney General JOSHUA A. ROOM		
4	Deputy Attorney General State Bar No. 214663		
	455 Golden Gate Avenue, Suite 11000		
5	San Francisco, CA 94102-7004 Telephone: (415) 703-1299	•	
6	Facsimile: (415) 703-5480 Attorneys for Complainant		
7		RE THE	
8	BOARD OF	PHARMACY	
9		CONSUMER AFFAIRS CALIFORNIA	
10			
11	In the Matter of the Accusation Against:	Case No. 3890	
12	GARY VICTOR MANTESE	STIPULATED SETTLEMENT AND	
13	300 Juniper Ridge # 266 Coalinga, CA 93210	DISCIPLINARY ORDER	
	Pharmacist License No. RPH 47841		
14	Respondent.		
.15			
16			
17	In the interest of a prompt and speedy settlement of this matter, consistent with the public		
18	interest and the responsibility of the Board of Pharmacy of the Department of Consumer Affairs,		
19	the parties hereby agree to the following Stipulat	ed Settlement and Disciplinary Order which will	
20	be submitted to the Board for approval and adop	tion as the final disposition of the Accusation.	
21			
22	PAR	TIES	
23	1. Virginia Herold (Complainant), Exe	cutive Officer of the Board of Pharmacy, brought	
24	this action solely in her official capacity and is re	epresented in this matter by Kamala D. Harris,	
25	Attorney General of the State of California, by J	oshua A. Room, Deputy Attorney General.	
26	2. Respondent Gary Victor Mantese (R	espondent) is representing himself in this	
27	proceeding and has chosen not to exercise his rig	ght to be represented by counsel.	
28			

CULPABILITY

8. Respondent admits the truth of each and every charge and allegation in Accusation No. 3890. Respondent agrees that his Pharmacist License is subject to discipline and he agrees to be bound by the Board's probationary terms as set forth in the Disciplinary Order below.

CONTINGENCY

- 9. This stipulation shall be subject to approval by the Board of Pharmacy. Respondent understands and agrees that counsel for Complainant and the staff of the Board of Pharmacy may communicate directly with the Board regarding this stipulation and settlement, without notice to or participation by Respondent. By signing the stipulation, Respondent understands and agrees that he may not withdraw his agreement or seek to rescind the stipulation prior to the time the Board considers and acts upon it. If the Board fails to adopt this stipulation as its Decision and Order, the Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order shall be of no force or effect, except for this paragraph, it shall be inadmissible in any legal action between the parties, and the Board shall not be disqualified from further action by having considered this matter.
- 10. The parties understand and agree that facsimile copies of this stipulation, including facsimile signatures thereto, shall have the same force and effect as the originals.
- 11. This Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order is intended by the parties to be an integrated writing representing the complete, final, and exclusive embodiment of their agreement. It supersedes any and all prior or contemporaneous agreements, understandings, discussions, negotiations, and commitments (written or oral). This Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order may not be altered, amended, modified, supplemented, or otherwise changed except by a writing executed by an authorized representative of each of the parties.
- 12. In consideration of the foregoing, the parties agree that the Board may, without further notice or formal proceeding, issue and enter the following Disciplinary Order:

///

///

///

DISCIPLINARY ORDER

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that Pharmacist License No. RPH 47841, issued to Gary Victor Mantese (Respondent), is revoked. However, the revocation is stayed and Respondent is placed on probation for three (3) years on the following terms and conditions.

1. Obey All Laws

Respondent shall obey all state and federal laws and regulations.

Respondent shall report any of the following occurrences to the board, in writing, within seventy-two (72) hours of such occurrence:

- an arrest or issuance of a criminal complaint for violation of any provision of the
 Pharmacy Law, state and federal food and drug laws, or state and federal controlled substances laws
- a plea of guilty or nolo contendre in any state or federal criminal proceeding to any criminal complaint, information or indictment
- a conviction of any crime
- discipline, citation, or other administrative action filed by any state or federal agency which involves respondent's pharmacist license or which is related to the practice of pharmacy or the manufacturing, obtaining, handling, distributing, billing, or charging for any drug, device or controlled substance.

Failure to timely report such occurrence shall be considered a violation of probation.

2. Report to the Board

Respondent shall report to the board quarterly, on a schedule as directed by the board or its designee. The report shall be made either in person or in writing, as directed. Among other requirements, respondent shall state in each report under penalty of perjury whether there has been compliance with all the terms and conditions of probation. Failure to submit timely reports in a form as directed shall be considered a violation of probation. Any period(s) of delinquency in submission of reports as directed may be added to the total period of probation. Moreover, if the final probation report is not made as directed, probation shall be automatically extended until such time as the final report is made and accepted by the board.

17⁻

3. Interview with the Board

Upon receipt of reasonable prior notice, respondent shall appear in person for interviews with the board or its designee, at such intervals and locations as are determined by the board or its designee. Failure to appear for any scheduled interview without prior notification to board staff, or failure to appear for two (2) or more scheduled interviews with the board or its designee during the period of probation, shall be considered a violation of probation.

4. Cooperate with Board Staff

Respondent shall cooperate with the board's inspection program and with the board's monitoring and investigation of respondent's compliance with the terms and conditions of his probation. Failure to cooperate shall be considered a violation of probation.

5. Continuing Education

Respondent shall provide evidence of efforts to maintain skill and knowledge as a pharmacist as directed by the board or its designee.

6. Notice to Employers

During the period of probation, respondent shall notify all present and prospective employers of the decision in case number 3890 and the terms, conditions and restrictions imposed on respondent by the decision, as follows:

Within thirty (30) days of the effective date of this decision, and within fifteen (15) days of respondent undertaking any new employment, respondent shall cause his direct supervisor, pharmacist-in-charge (including each new pharmacist-in-charge employed during respondent's tenure of employment) and owner to report to the board in writing acknowledging that the listed individual(s) has/have read the decision in case number 3890, and terms and conditions imposed thereby. It shall be respondent's responsibility to ensure that his employer(s) and/or supervisor(s) submit timely acknowledgment(s) to the board. If respondent works for or is employed by or through a pharmacy employment service, respondent must notify his direct supervisor, pharmacist-in-charge, and owner at every entity licensed by the board of the terms and conditions of the decision in case number 3890 in advance of the respondent commencing work at each licensed entity. A record of this notification must be provided to the board upon request.

Furthermore, within thirty (30) days of the effective date of this decision, and within fifteen (15) days of respondent undertaking any new employment by or through a pharmacy employment service, respondent shall cause his direct supervisor with the pharmacy employment service to report to the board in writing acknowledging that he has read the decision in case number 3890 and the terms and conditions imposed thereby. It shall be respondent's responsibility to ensure that his employer(s) and/or supervisor(s) submit timely acknowledgment(s) to the board.

Failure to timely notify present or prospective employer(s) or to cause employer(s) to submit timely acknowledgments to the board shall be considered a violation of probation.

"Employment" within the meaning of this provision shall include any full-time, part-time, temporary, relief or pharmacy management service as a pharmacist or any position for which a pharmacist license is a requirement or criterion for employment, whether the respondent is an employee, independent contractor or volunteer.

7. Prohibitions on Supervision and Consultancy

During the period of probation, respondent shall not supervise any intern pharmacist, be the pharmacist-in-charge or designated representative-in-charge of any entity licensed by the board, nor serve as a consultant unless otherwise specified in this order. Assumption of unauthorized supervision or consultant responsibilities shall be considered a violation of probation.

8. Reimbursement of Board Costs

As a condition precedent to successful completion of probation, respondent shall pay to the board its costs of investigation and prosecution in the amount of \$2,365.00.

Absent prior written approval by the Board or its designee, respondent may not successfully complete probation until this amount is paid in full. Respondent shall be permitted to pay these costs in a payment plan approved by the Board or its designee, so long as full payment is completed no later than thirty (30) months after the effective date of this decision. There shall be no deviation from this schedule absent prior written approval by the Board or its designee.

Failure to pay costs by the deadline(s) as directed shall be considered a violation of probation.

The filing of bankruptcy by respondent shall not relieve respondent of his responsibility to reimburse the board its costs of investigation and prosecution.

2345

6

789

10

1112

13

1415

16

17

18

1920

21

2223

24

25

2627

28

9. Probation Monitoring Costs

Respondent shall pay any costs associated with probation monitoring as determined by the board each and every year of probation. Such costs shall be payable to the board on a schedule as directed by the board or its designee. Failure to pay such costs by the deadline(s) as directed shall be considered a violation of probation.

10. Status of License

Respondent shall, at all times while on probation, maintain an active, current license with the board, including any period during which suspension or probation is tolled. Failure to maintain an active, current license shall be considered a violation of probation.

If respondent's license expires or is cancelled by operation of law or otherwise at any time during the period of probation, including any extensions thereof due to tolling or otherwise, upon renewal or reapplication respondent's license shall be subject to all terms and conditions of this probation not previously satisfied.

11. No Ownership of Licensed Premises

Respondent shall not own, have any legal or beneficial interest in, or serve as a manager, administrator, member, officer, director, trustee, associate, or partner of any business, firm, partnership, or corporation currently or hereinafter licensed by the board. Respondent shall sell or transfer any legal or beneficial interest in any entity licensed by the board within ninety (90) days following the effective date of this decision and shall immediately thereafter provide written proof thereof to the board. Failure to timely divest any legal or beneficial interest(s) or provide documentation thereof shall be considered a violation of probation.

12. Notification of a Change in Employment, Name, Address(es), or Phone(s)

Respondent shall notify the board in writing within ten (10) days of any change of employment. Said notification shall include the reasons for leaving, the address of the new employer, the name of the supervisor and owner, and the work schedule if known.

Respondent shall further notify the board in writing within ten (10) days of a change in name, residence address, mailing address, or phone number.

///

 Failure to timely notify the board of any change in employer(s), name(s), address(es), or phone number(s) shall be considered a violation of probation.

13. Supervised Practice

During the period of probation, Respondent shall practice only under the supervision of a licensed pharmacist not on probation with the board. Upon and after the effective date of this decision, Respondent shall not practice pharmacy and his license shall be automatically suspended until a supervisor is approved by the board or its designee. The supervision shall be, as required by the board or its designee, either:

Continuous – At least 75% of a work week

Substantial - At least 50% of a work week

Partial - At least 25% of a work week

Daily Review - Supervisor's review of probationer's daily activities within 24 hours

Within thirty (30) days of the effective date of this decision, Respondent shall have his
supervisor submit notification to the board in writing stating that the supervisor has read the
decision in case number 3890 and is familiar with the required level of supervision as determined
by the board or its designee. It shall be the Respondent's responsibility to ensure that his
employer(s), pharmacist-in-charge and/or supervisor(s) submit timely acknowledgement(s) to the
board. Failure to cause the direct supervisor and the pharmacist-in-charge to submit timely
acknowledgements to the board shall be considered a violation of probation.

If Respondent changes employment, it shall be the Respondent's responsibility to ensure that his employer(s), pharmacist-in-charge and/or supervisor(s) submit timely acknowledgement(s) to the board. Respondent shall have his new supervisor, within fifteen (15) days after employment commences, submit notification to the board in writing stating the direct supervisor and pharmacist-in-charge have read the decision in case number 3890 and is/are familiar with the level of supervision as determined by the board. Respondent shall not practice pharmacy and his license shall be automatically suspended until the board or its designee approves a new supervisor. Failure to cause the direct supervisor and the pharmacist-in-charge to submit timely acknowledgements to the board shall be considered a violation of probation.

During any such suspension, respondent shall not enter any pharmacy area or any portion of the licensed premises of a wholesaler, veterinary food-animal drug retailer or any other distributor of drugs licensed by the board, or any manufacturer, or where dangerous drugs and devices or controlled substances are maintained. Respondent shall not practice pharmacy nor do any act

Within ten (10) days of leaving employment, Respondent shall notify the board in writing.

involving drug selection, selection of stock, manufacturing, compounding, dispensing or patient consultation; nor shall respondent manage, administer, or be a consultant to any licensee of the

board, or have access to or control the ordering, manufacturing or dispensing of dangerous drugs

and controlled substances. Respondent shall not resume practice until notified by the board.

During any such suspension, respondent shall not engage in any activity that requires the professional judgment of a pharmacist. Respondent shall not direct or control any aspect of the practice of pharmacy. Respondent shall not perform the duties of a pharmacy technician or a designated representative for any entity licensed by the board.

Failure to comply with any such suspension shall be considered a violation of probation.

14. Pharmacists Recovery Program (PRP)

Within thirty (30) days of the effective date of this decision, respondent shall contact the Pharmacists Recovery Program (PRP) for evaluation, and shall immediately thereafter enroll, successfully participate in, and complete the treatment contract and any subsequent addendums as recommended and provided by the PRP and as approved by the board or its designee. The costs for PRP participation shall be borne by the respondent. If respondent is currently enrolled in the PRP, said participation is now mandatory and as of the effective date of this decision is no longer considered a self-referral under Business and Professions Code section 4362(c)(2). Respondent shall participate in and complete his contract and any subsequent addendums with the PRP.

Failure to timely contact or enroll in the PRP, or successfully participate in and complete the treatment contract and/or any addendums, shall be considered a violation of probation.

Probation shall be automatically extended until respondent successfully completes the PRP.

Any person terminated from the PRP program shall be automatically suspended by the board.

Respondent may not resume the practice of pharmacy until notified by the board in writing.

Any confirmed positive test for alcohol or for any drug not lawfully prescribed by a licensed practitioner as part of a documented medical treatment shall result in the automatic suspension of practice by respondent and shall be considered a violation of probation.

Respondent may not resume the practice of pharmacy until notified by the board in writing.

During any such suspension, respondent shall not enter any pharmacy area or any portion of the licensed premises of a wholesaler, veterinary food-animal drug retailer or any other distributor of drugs licensed by the board, or any manufacturer, or where dangerous drugs and devices or controlled substances are maintained. Respondent shall not practice pharmacy nor do any act involving drug selection, selection of stock, manufacturing, compounding, dispensing or patient consultation; nor shall respondent manage, administer, or be a consultant to any licensee of the board, or have access to or control the ordering, manufacturing or dispensing of dangerous drugs and controlled substances. Respondent shall not resume practice until notified by the board.

During any such suspension, respondent shall not engage in any activity that requires the professional judgment of a pharmacist. Respondent shall not direct or control any aspect of the practice of pharmacy. Respondent shall not perform the duties of a pharmacy technician or a designated representative for any entity licensed by the board.

Failure to comply with any such suspension shall be considered a violation of probation.

Respondent shall pay administrative fees as invoiced by the PRP or its designee. Fees not timely paid to the PRP shall constitute a violation of probation. The board will collect unpaid administrative fees as part of the annual probation monitoring costs if not submitted to the PRP.

15. Abstain from Drug and Alcohol Possession or Use

Respondent shall fully abstain from possession or use of alcohol, controlled substances, dangerous drugs and their associated paraphernalia except when the drugs are lawfully prescribed by a licensed practitioner as part of a documented medical treatment. Upon request of the board or its designee, respondent shall provide documentation from the licensed practitioner that a drug was legitimately prescribed and is a necessary part of respondent's treatment. Failure to timely provide such documentation shall be considered a violation of probation.

///

 Respondent shall ensure he is not in the same physical location as individuals who are using illicit substances even if Respondent is not personally ingesting a drug. Any possession or use of alcohol, controlled substances, or paraphernalia not supported by timely documentation, and/or any proximity to persons using illicit substances, shall be considered a violation of probation.

16. Random Drug Screening

Respondent, at his own expense, shall participate in random testing, including but not limited to biological fluid testing (urine, blood), breathalyzer, hair follicle testing, or other drug screening program for the detection of alcohol, narcotics, hypnotics, dangerous drugs or controlled substances as the board or its designee may direct. Respondent may be required to participate in testing for the entire probation period and frequency of testing will be determined by the board or its designee. At all times, Respondent shall fully cooperate with the board or its designee, and shall, when directed, submit to such tests and samples.

Failure to timely submit to testing as directed shall be considered a violation of probation.

Upon request of the board or its designee, Respondent shall provide documentation from a licensed practitioner that the prescription for a detected drug was legitimately issued and is a necessary part of the treatment of the Respondent. Failure to timely provide such documentation shall be considered a violation of probation. Any confirmed positive test for alcohol or for any drug not lawfully prescribed by a licensed practitioner as part of a documented medical treatment shall be considered a violation of probation and shall result in automatic suspension of practice by Respondent. Respondent may not resume practice until notified by the board in writing.

During any such suspension, respondent shall not enter any pharmacy area or any portion of the licensed premises of a wholesaler, veterinary food-animal drug retailer or any other distributor of drugs licensed by the board, or any manufacturer, or where dangerous drugs and devices or controlled substances are maintained. Respondent shall not practice pharmacy nor do any act involving drug selection, selection of stock, manufacturing, compounding, dispensing or patient consultation; nor shall respondent manage, administer, or be a consultant to any licensee of the board, or have access to or control the ordering, manufacturing or dispensing of dangerous drugs and controlled substances. Respondent shall not resume practice until notified by the board.

12

17 18 19

16

21 22

23

20

24 25

26

27 28 professional judgment of a pharmacist. Respondent shall not direct or control any aspect of the practice of pharmacy. Respondent shall not perform the duties of a pharmacy technician or a designated representative for any entity licensed by the board.

Failure to comply with any such suspension shall be considered a violation of probation.

During any such suspension, respondent shall not engage in any activity that requires the

Tolling of Probation 17.

Except during periods of suspension, respondent shall, at all times while on probation, be employed as a pharmacist in California for a minimum of forty (40) hours per calendar month. Any month during which this minimum is not met shall toll the period of probation, i.e., the period of probation shall be extended by one month for each month during which this minimum is not met. During any such period of tolling of probation, respondent must nonetheless comply with all terms and conditions of probation.

Should respondent, regardless of residency, for any reason (including vacation) cease practicing as a pharmacist for a minimum of forty (40) hours per calendar month in California, respondent must notify the board in writing within ten (10) days of the cessation of practice, and must further notify the board in writing within ten (10) days of the resumption of practice. Any failure to provide such notification(s) shall be considered a violation of probation.

It is a violation of probation for respondent's probation to remain tolled pursuant to the provisions of this condition for a total period, counting consecutive and non-consecutive months, exceeding thirty-six (36) months.

"Cessation of practice" means any calendar month during which Respondent is not practicing as a pharmacist for at least forty (40) hours, as defined by Business and Professions Code section 4000 et seq. "Resumption of practice" means any calendar month during which Respondent is practicing for at least forty (40) hours as a pharmacist as defined by Business and Professions Code section 4000 et seq.

///

///

/// -

18. License Surrender While on Probation/Suspension

Following the effective date of this decision, should respondent cease practice due to retirement or health, or be otherwise unable to satisfy the terms and conditions of probation, respondent may tender his license to the board for surrender. The board or its designee shall have the discretion whether to grant the request for surrender or take any other action it deems appropriate and reasonable. Upon formal acceptance of the surrender of the license, respondent will no longer be subject to the terms and conditions of probation. This surrender constitutes a record of discipline and shall become a part of the respondent's license history with the board.

Upon acceptance of surrender, respondent shall relinquish his pocket and wall license to the board within ten (10) days of notification by the board that the surrender is accepted. Respondent may not reapply for any license from the board for three (3) years from the effective date of the surrender. Respondent shall meet all requirements applicable to the license sought as of the date the application for that license is submitted to the board, including any outstanding costs.

19. Violation of Probation

If a respondent has not complied with any term or condition of probation, the board shall have continuing jurisdiction over respondent, and probation shall automatically be extended, until all terms and conditions have been satisfied or the board has taken other action as deemed appropriate to treat the failure to comply as a violation of probation, to terminate probation, and to impose the penalty that was stayed.

If respondent violates probation in any respect, the board, after giving respondent notice and an opportunity to be heard, may revoke probation and carry out the disciplinary order that was stayed. Notice and opportunity to be heard are not required for those provisions stating that a violation thereof may lead to automatic termination of the stay and/or revocation of the license. If a petition to revoke probation or an accusation is filed against respondent during probation, the board shall have continuing jurisdiction and the period of probation shall be automatically extended until the petition to revoke probation or accusation is heard and decided.

///

///

20. Completion of Probation

Upon written notice by the board or its designee indicating successful completion of probation, respondent's license will be fully restored.

<u>ACCEPTANCE</u>

I have carefully read the foregoing Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order. I understand the stipulation and the effect it will have on my Pharmacist License. I enter into this Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order voluntarily, knowingly, and intelligently, and agree to be bound by the Decision and Order of the Board of Pharmacy.

DATED: 6/13/11

GARY VICTOR MANTESE Respondent

ENDORSEMENT

The foregoing Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order is hereby respectfully submitted for consideration by the Board of Pharmacy of the Department of Consumer Affairs.

Dated: 6/23/2011

Respectfully submitted,

KAMALA D. HARRIS Attorney General of California FRANK H. PACOE Supervising Deputy Attorney General

Jøshua A. ROOM Deputy Attorney General Attorneys for Complainant

SF2010202952 20466830.doc

28

27

Exhibit A

Accusation No. 3890

F			
1	Kamala D. Harris		
2	Attorney General of California FRANK H. PACOE		
3	Supervising Deputy Attorney General JOSHUA A. ROOM		
4	Deputy Attorney General State Bar No. 214663		
5	455 Golden Gate Avenue, Suite 11000 San Francisco, CA 94102-7004		
	Telephone: (415) 703-1299		
6	Facsimile: (415) 703-5480 Attorneys for Complainant		
7	BEFORE THE		
8	BOARD OF PHARMACY DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS		
9	STATE OF CALIFORNIA		
10	In the Matter of the Accusation Against: Case No. 3890		
11			
12	GARY VICTOR MANTESE 300 Juniper Ridge # 266		
13	Coalinga, CA 93210 A C C U S A T I O N		
14	Pharmacist License No. RPH 47841		
15	Respondent.		
16	Complainant alleges:		
17	ΡΑΡΤΓΓΟ		
18	1 Niverinia II and Id (Commission and him as this Assuration as lake in how official constitution		
]	1. Virginia Herold (Complainant) brings this Accusation solely in her official capacity		
19	as the Executive Officer of the Board of Pharmacy, Department of Consumer Affairs.		
20	2. On or about May 5, 1995, the Board of Pharmacy issued Pharmacist License Number		
21	RPH 47841 to Gary Victor Mantese (Respondent). The License was in full force and effect at al		
22	times relevant to the charges brought herein and will expire on August 31, 2012, unless renewed.		
23			
24	<u>JURISDICTION</u>		
25	3. This Accusation is brought before the Board of Pharmacy (Board), Department of		
26	Consumer Affairs, under the authority of the following laws. All section references are to the		
27	Business and Professions Code (Code) unless otherwise indicated.		
28			

- 4. Section 4011 of the Code provides that the Board shall administer and enforce both the Pharmacy Law [Bus. & Prof. Code, § 4000 et seq.] and the Uniform Controlled Substances Act [Health & Safety Code, § 11000 et seq.].
- 5. Section 4300(a) of the Code provides that every license issued by the Board may be suspended or revoked.
- 6. Section 118(b) of the Code provides, in pertinent part, that the suspension, expiration, surrender, or cancellation of a license shall not deprive the Board of jurisdiction to proceed with a disciplinary action during the period within which the license may be renewed, restored, reissued or reinstated. Section 4402(a) of the Code provides that any pharmacist license that is not renewed within three years following its expiration may not be renewed, restored, or reinstated and shall be canceled by operation of law at the end of the three-year period. Section 4402(e) of the Code provides that any other license issued by the Board may be canceled by the Board if not renewed within 60 days after its expiration, and will require a new application.

STATUTORY PROVISIONS

- 7. Section 4301 of the Code provides, in pertinent part, that the Board shall take action against any holder of a license who is guilty of "unprofessional conduct," defined to include, but not be limited to, any of the following:
- (n) The revocation, suspension, or other discipline by another state of a license to practice pharmacy, operate a pharmacy, or do any other act for which a license is required by this chapter.

COST RECOVERY

- 8. Section 125.3 of the Code provides, in pertinent part, that the Board may request the administrative law judge to direct a licentiate found to have committed a violation of the licensing act to pay a sum not to exceed its reasonable costs of investigation and enforcement.
 - ///

III

///

- ||

. 1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26

28

FIRST CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(License Discipline by Another State)

- 9. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under section 4301(n) of the Code, in that Respondent's license to practice pharmacy was subjected to discipline by another state, namely, Missouri. Respondent's disciplinary history in that state is as follows:
- a. The stipulated facts underlying a disciplinary order against a license to practice pharmacy (# 29599) issued to Respondent by the Missouri Board of Pharmacy included that:

 on or about June 26, 2003, Respondent was arrested by St. Louis Police in a known crack cocaine house while in possession of drug paraphernalia (a crack pipe); on or about October 17, 2003, a urine sample provided by Respondent tested positive for cocaine metabolites;

on or about August 27, 2002, Respondent was convicted of driving under the influence of alcohol in California.

b. On or about April 1, 2005, and effective on or about May 17, 2005, Respondent signed and agreed to a stipulated settlement agreement with the Missouri Board of Pharmacy that imposed discipline on his license to practice pharmacy. The terms of the discipline included the suspension of Respondent's license to practice pharmacy for three (3) years, followed by a term of probation of five (5) years, both on specified terms and conditions including drug testing.

SECOND CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(License Discipline by Another State)

- 10. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under section 4301(n) of the Code, in that Respondent's license to practice pharmacy was subjected to discipline by another state, namely, Louisiana. Respondent's disciplinary history in that state is as follows:
- a. On or about February 17, 2005, the Louisiana Board of Pharmacy accepted the voluntary surrender of Respondent's license to practice pharmacy (# 11065) submitted December 13, 2004, and thereby ordered indefinite suspension of the license effective December 13, 2004.

b. On or about November 13, 2008, Respondent's request for reinstatement was granted by Consent Order and/or Consent Agreement of the Louisiana Board of Pharmacy, and his license to practice pharmacy (# 11065) was reinstated, subject to an Order suspending the license for a period of five (5) years, with that suspension order suspended in favor of probation for the same period (5 years), on terms and conditions including abstinence and drug testing.

THIRD CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(License Discipline by Another State)

- 11. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under section 4301(n) of the Code, in that Respondent's license to practice pharmacy was subjected to discipline by another state, namely, Texas. Respondent's disciplinary history in that state is as follows:
- a. On or about May 10, 2005, an Agreed Board Order issued by the Texas State Board of Pharmacy placed Respondent's license to practice pharmacy in that state (# 23904) on a five (5) year probated suspension with conditions, based on information that Respondent had been convicted of Driving While Intoxicated, had violated the terms of his Professional Recovery Network contract, and had received treatment in November 2004 for a **crack cocaine** relapse.
- b. On or about May 10, 2006, an Agreed Board Order issued by the Texas State Board of Pharmacy suspended Respondent's license with conditions, based on information that Respondent was treated in January 2006 for a **crack cocaine** relapse and was incapacitated.
- c. On or about August 2, 2006, an Agreed Board Order issued by the Texas State Board of Pharmacy revoked Respondent's license, based on Respondent's admission that he had relapsed on crack cocaine and his request for license revocation.
- d. On or about August 11, 2010, an Agreed Board Order issued by the Texas State Board of Pharmacy ordered Respondent's license to be reinstated following his completion of a licensing examination, continuing education, and supervised internship hours, further ordered the license suspended pending an evaluation demonstrating Respondent's lack of dependence and/or incapacity, and thereafter placed the license on probation for ten (10) years, terms and conditions to include participation in the Professional Recovery Network, abstinence, and drug testing.

2

3 4

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

SF2010202952 20420345.doc

28

FOURTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(License Discipline by Another State)

Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under section 4301(n) of the Code in that 12. Respondent's license to practice pharmacy was subjected to discipline by another state, namely, Illinois. Respondent's disciplinary history in that state includes that on or about May 25, 2006, a Consent Order approved by the Illinois Department of Financial and Professional Regulation. Division of Professional Regulation, with regard to Respondent's license to practice pharmacy in that state (#51031441), suspended that license indefinitely, until such time as Respondent might petition for restoration. To petition for restoration, Respondent would have to show, inter alia, that his Texas and Louisiana licenses are no longer in suspended status.

PRAYER

WHEREFORE, Complainant requests that a hearing be held on the matters herein alleged, and that following the hearing, the Board of Pharmacy issue a decision:

- Revoking or suspending Pharmacist License Number RPH 47841, issued to Gary Victor Mantese (Respondent);
- Ordering Respondent to pay the Board the reasonable costs of the investigation and enforcement of this case, pursuant to Business and Professions Code section 125.3;
 - 3. Taking such other and further action as is deemed necessary and proper.

Executive Officer Board of Pharmacy

Department of Consumer Affairs

State of California Complainant